UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, Plaintiff,	Case Numbe	er <u>CR12-00242EJD</u>
	_, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Ba was present, represented by his attempt Meredith Edwards.	uil Reform Act, 18 U.S.C orney <u>Heather Rogers</u> A	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing v FPD. The United States was rep	was held on April 10, 2012. Defendant resented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICA	BLE		
/ / The defendant is char of a prior offense described in 18 U period of not more than five (5) yes whichever is later.	J.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while	on release pending trial for a fee	and the defendant has been convicted deral, state or local offense, and a of the person from imprisonment,
This establishes a rebuttab of any other person and the commu	ole presumption that no conity.	ondition or combination of cond	itions will reasonably assure the safety
	<u> </u>	ment) (the facts found in Part IV	below) to believe that the defendant
A for which	h a maximum term of im	prisonment of 10 years or more i	is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. 8
801 et se	n 8951 et sea or 895	Saletisea OP	
B under 18	U.S.C. § 924(c): use of	a firearm during the commission	of a trion.
i ilis establishes a reduttad	ne presumption that no c	ondition or combination of cond	itions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as requ		e community.	APR 1 0 2012
No presumption appli PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMP			RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK, U.S. TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
/ / The defendant has not	come forward with suff	iciant avidance to rehat the anali	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CALDIATHERN HISTRICTUSE and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	come forward with suff	icient evidence to redut the appir	canna presumption se and ne
	ne forward with evidenc	e to rebut the applicable presump	ation[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof			montal to wit
PART UI. PROOF (WHERE PRESU			
X/ The United States has	proved to a preponderar	ce of the evidence that no condi	tion or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of	f the defendant as require	ed, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has	proved by clear and con	vincing evidence that no condition	on or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any	other person and the con	nmunity.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF			
	nto account the factors se	t out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and	all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	1.1		
Defendant, his attorney		aived written findings.	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING The defendant is committed to		more Company I on his designated as	non-restation Control C
			presentative for confinement in a or being held in custody pending appeal
The defendant shall be afforded a reason	onable opportunity for pr	ivate consultation with defense c	counsel. On order of a court of the
United States or on the request of an at			
defendant to the United States Marshal	for the purpose of an ap	pearance in connection with a co	urt proceeding.
10.41			
Dated: 4/16/12	***	OWARD RALLOWS	
(· · · ·		OWARD R. LLOVD nited States Magistrate Judge	
	U	mod pasics iviagishate Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____